

MARKET INSIGHT AUGUST - SEPTEMBER 2016 WEALTH MANAGEMENT CORPORATE & FAMILY GOVERNANCE CORPORATE ADVISORY & STRUCTURING ASSET SERVICES ASSET MANAGEMENT

Market analysis

INSIGHT - AUGUST - SEPTEMBER 2016



The Italian banking crisis

After an already disastrous start to the year, Italian banks plunged 30% following the Brexit vote. Obviously there is no direct link between Brexit and Italy, but the uncertainty of the UK leaving the EU had ripple effects on Italy, shaking up its very fragile banking sector. Although the problems are far from unknown or new, things have accelerated lately with the resolution of non-performing loans (NPL) and potential bail-in / bail-out for the weaker banks. How long will it take for the country to find a credible solution and will it be sufficient?

As an initial response in tackling the ramping problem of NPL, Italy created Atlante, a private fund designed to participate in distressed bank recapitalisation but also to buy NPL from banks. Although the initiative is probably a step in the right direction, from a capacity standpoint the size of Atlante is not sufficient to act as a pseudo bad bank and is just a baby step. Italy needs to create a functioning market for NPL by accelerating the reforms

years, adding more strain to the sector and pressing the Italian government to come up with something better than a second Atlante fund.

As if the situation weren't unstable enough, European Banking Authorities (EBA) will release the results of the second stress tests at the end of July. The outcome will be of particular interest for Italian lenders, with some of them in need of recapitalisation, this will be the starting point of a political and legal headache.

EU state-aid rules on additional capital requirements are governed by the European Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive (BRRD) which states that before any public money can be used, the bank must use 8% of total liabilities as a preliminary bail-in. In Italy, approximately 30% of bank bonds are being held by retail investors due to preferential tax treatment of fixed income instruments. The legal side of the headache lies in the strict applica-

How long will it take for the country to find a credible solution and will it be sufficient?

 ${f N}$ Icolas ${f R}$ oth, ${f C}$ o-Head Alternative Investments, REYL & Cie ${f L}$ td

of the judicial processes and adjusting the fiscal treatment of provisions in order to incentivize banks to (i) take write downs (ii) sell their non-performing exposure to private investors. A few bold investors have already set foot in the country to buy NPL from willing sellers; however the market remains far behind Spain from a liquidity perspective.

If Italy was hoping to solve its NPL problem at its own pace, the letter from the

ECB received by the oldest bank, Banca Monte Paschi di Siena early in July was the nail in the coffin. The Central Bank has stressed that Monte Paschi has to reduce their bad loan exposure by around EUR 10bn over the next 3



tion of article 32 (4.d) of BRRD which has an exception to the trigger of a resolution. "Extraordinary public financial support" could be granted to a solvent institution "in order to remedy a serious disturbance in the economy of a member state and preserve financial stability" but the amount will be limited to the shortfall identified by the EBA stress test. Under this exception, only equity and junior bond holders would share the pain, helping Renzi to soothe voters in the coming October's referendum.

The political headache involves not only Matteo Renzi but also Germany. Angela Merkel and a number of other EU members are also calling for a flexible application of



EU state-aid rules. In the case of bail-in involving senior bond holders, which would most likely result in the end of Renzi's political career, the populist and anti-establishment movement "Cinque Stelle" would probably win in October, and this is exactly what German Chancellor Merkel is trying to avoid.

What's next for Italian banks? Different scenarios could emerge from the outcome of the EBA stress tests, but something has got to give in all scenarios. In the base case, a number of banks are due to recapitalise and unable to do it without hurting equity and subordinated debt holders. Since junior debt is massively held by retail investors, private investors are bailed-in and bear the losses. More recently, there has been talks on differentiating institutional and private bond holders in a bail-in process, which seems highly unlikely after the failed bail-in of Novo Banco that has triggered a number of institutional investors such as PIMCO, Blackrock and Elliott Capital to sue the Bank of Portugal. The worst case would be a very strict EBA outcome, with a requirement to drastically reduce non-perfor-

ming exposure, resulting in a severe shortfall for a number of banks. Bail-in would therefore hit the entire capital structure including senior bond holders and diminishing the already low levels of confidence in the Italian banking system. The best case would be if the EU allows Italy to trigger article 34 (4.d) of BRRD for the injection of public money without triggering a bail-in, which seems very unlikely if the EU is not willing to be flexible in the application of the Bank Recovery and Resolution directive.

There are clear risks in all scenarios. On the one hand, the political future of Matteo Renzi might be at stake as the savings of Italian private investors would be at risk in the case of a bail-in. On the other hand, the credibility of the EU and its set of regulations are at risk if it is willing to bend the rules for Italian banks, which would set a dangerous precedent and negatively impact the confidence of investors. There is no easy fix for Italian banks and either way, the country is likely to be on the growing concern list for investors over the coming months.



•

www.revl.com

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This content is being provided by Reyl & Cie Holding SA or/and its affiliates (hereinafter referred to as "REYL") solely for information purposes, it shall be intended for internal use strictly and is not intended to be a solicitation or offer, recommendation or advice to buy or sell interests in any security or investment product mentioned in it, to effect any transaction, or to conclude any transaction of any kind whatsoever, in particular to any recipient who is not a qualified, accredited, eligible or / and professional investor. It is intended for the sole use of the recipient and may not be forwarded, printed, downloaded, used or reproduced for any other purpose. Whilst REYL shall use reasonable efforts to obtain information from sources which it believes to be reliable, REYL, its directors, officers, employees, agents or shareholders assumes no liability regarding this content and gives no warranty as to the accuracy, completeness or reliability of any mentioned data and thus assumes no liability for losses arising from the use of this content. This content is intended only for recipient who understand and are capable of assuming all risks involved. Before entering into any transaction, the recipients should determine if the relevant security or investment production mentioned in the content suits his particular circumstances and should ensure that he independently assesses (together with his professional advisers) the specific risks, the legal, tax, accounting consequences and eligibility requirements of any purchase of securities or investment products mentioned in the content. REYL makes no representation as to the suitability of the mentioned information, opinions or securities and investment products. Historical data on the performance of the securities and investment products or the underlying assets are no indication for future performance. The present content has been compiled by a department of REYL which is not an organisational unit responsible for financial research. REYL is subject to distin